


Imran Sabri [2025]. International Journal of Medical Justice, IJMJ,
Volume 3, Issue : January-June 2025 [E-ISSN: 2583-7958]
International ISSN [CIEPS]: 3006-208X[Print] 3006-2098[Online]
Content list Available at ijmj.net



International Journal of Medical Justice

Journal Homepage: <https://www.ijmj.net>



Original Research:

Advances in Forensic Medicine: Integrating Modern Technologies for Enhanced Investigations

Imran Sabri 1, Mohammed Almulhim 2, Majed Alenazi 3, Mohammed
Aldoughan 4, Abdulhamid Alhulaybi 5

1 Faculty Member, Division of Forensic Medicine, King Faisal University, Al-
Ahsa, Saudi Arabia

2 Director, Forensic Medicine Services, Ministry of Health, AlAhsa, Saudi
Arabia

3 Director, Forensic Medical Services Center, Ministry of Health, AlAhsa,
Saudi Arabia

4 Deputy Director, Forensic Medicine Center, Ministry of Health, AlAhsa,
Saudi Arabia

5 Director, Mortuary Affairs, Forensic Medicine Center, Ministry of Health,
AlAhsa, Saudi Arabia

Article History:

Date of Submission: Friday January 17, 2025.

Date of Start of Review Process: Friday January 17, 2025.

Date of Receipt of Reviewers Report: Saturday January 25, 2025.

Date of Revision: Saturday March 08, 2025.

Date of Acceptance: Saturday May 17, 2025.

Date of Publication: Monday June 30, 2025.

Digital Object Identifier [DOI]: [10.5281/zenodo.15721573](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15721573)

Available Online: Sunday June 15, 2025.

Website Archive: <https://www.ijmj.net/archive/2025/1/IJMJ-2025-329.pdf>

Citation: 1. Sabri I, Mohammed A, Majed A, Mohammed A, Abdulhamid A.
Advances in Forensic Medicine: Integrating Modern Technologies for
Enhanced Investigations. Vol. 3, International Journal of Medical
Justice. GLAFIMS ASSOCIATION; 2025 Jun p. 27-43.

Indexing:  OpenAIRE,  INTERNATIONAL Scientific Indexing,  LetPub,  INDEX COPERNICUS INTERNATIONAL, 

Academic Editor: Dr Arpan Singh Pan

IJMJ-V3-N1-2025-P- 27

Correspondence:

Dr Imran Sabri

Faculty Member,

Division of Forensic Medicine,

College of Medicine King Faisal University, Al-Ahsa, Saudi Arabia

Email: ikhan@kfu.edu.sa

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8754-0450>

Abstract: Forensic medicine, integrating healthcare and law, is evolving due to technological advancements. DNA analysis and portable tools have transformed identification, while AI enhances investigative capabilities. Digital forensics, with advanced imaging and simulation, is also changing the field. However, ethical issues related to privacy and consent require careful consideration. Balancing technological progress with justice principles is essential for maintaining forensic integrity.

DNA profiling has significant uses in criminal law, genealogy, biomedical research, and healthcare. It aids in uncovering familial links and identifying genetic disease susceptibilities. However, advancements in DNA profiling pose ethical challenges regarding privacy and consent. Digital autopsy, utilizing imaging techniques, is gaining

attention in sensitive cases. Forensic toxicology has expanded with advanced methods like LC-MS/MS and emerging fields such as metabolomics. These developments demand careful regulatory scrutiny to protect individual autonomy and trust.

Ethical and Legal Implications: The integration of advanced technologies in forensic medicine raises ethical concerns about consent, privacy, data ownership, and potential bias. A comprehensive legal framework is necessary to address these issues and anticipate future developments. Forensic practitioners must also navigate historical injustices and the risk of coercion in genetic testing. The creation of strong guidelines and regulatory frameworks is crucial, alongside public awareness and stakeholder participation. Continuous education for forensic professionals is essential to

ensure ethical practices with new methodologies.

The integration of advanced technologies in forensic medicine raises ethical issues regarding consent, confidentiality, data ownership, and potential bias. A comprehensive legal framework is necessary to address these challenges and predict future developments. Forensic professionals must also confront historical inequalities and the risk of coercion in genetic assessments. The establishment of robust guidelines and regulatory frameworks is vital, as is public awareness and stakeholder involvement. Ongoing education for forensic professionals is crucial to ensure the ethical application of new methodologies.

Conclusion: The integration of modern technologies into forensic medicine presents both opportunities and challenges, including the balance between innovation and ethical responsibility. As public interest in forensic science grows, expectations may become unrealistic, necessitating enhanced training and a comprehensive ethical framework.

Ethical considerations include data confidentiality, security, and informed consent. A collaborative approach involving ethicists, legal experts, and community representatives is essential for maintaining public trust and ensuring effective justice. The rapid pace of technological change often outstrips necessary regulations, posing threats to civil liberties and public confidence.

Keywords: Forensic Medicine, Healthcare, Future, Technology, Investigations

Introduction: Forensic medicine serves as a nexus between the disciplines of medicine and jurisprudence, furnishing essential insights into the etiology of mortality, mechanisms of trauma, and the identification of individuals within judicial frameworks. Historically dependent upon anatomical dissections and rudimentary chemical assays, the discipline has undergone significant transformation with the emergence of sophisticated scientific instruments. This manuscript seeks to elucidate contemporary technological innovations and their contributions to forensic

practice. As forensic medicine perpetuates its evolution, the amalgamation of avant-garde technologies is redefining investigative methodologies and augmenting the precision of evidentiary collection. For example, advancements in deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) analysis have fundamentally transformed the identification of victims and suspects, exerting a substantial influence on case resolutions and ensuring that justice is administered more effectively (Balhareth et al., 2024). Furthermore, the advent of portable forensic apparatus facilitates on-site evaluations that accelerate investigations, consequently diminishing the duration required to procure crucial evidence. This transition not only optimizes processes but also engenders significant discourse regarding ethical considerations, particularly concerning privacy and consent when implementing such technology in delicate cases (Balhareth et al., 2024).

The persistent advancements in this domain underscore a vital intersection between science and law, accentuating the necessity for ongoing adaptation and education among professionals to

uphold the integrity of forensic methodologies amidst these swift transitions. As novel technologies persist in their progression, the incorporation of artificial intelligence (AI) within forensic science introduces both prospects and challenges, necessitating a reassessment of conventional practices while enhancing investigative proficiencies. In addition to AI's function in augmenting investigative capabilities, the utilization of digital forensics has emerged as a critical component of contemporary forensic medicine. This encompasses not only the examination of electronic devices but also the reconstruction of crime scenes through advanced imaging methodologies and simulation software, which can yield invaluable insights into criminal conduct and event chronologies. As forensic pathologists increasingly depend on these instruments, it is imperative to address the legal ramifications surrounding digital evidence, particularly concerning its admissibility in judicial proceedings and the potential for infringements on privacy rights (Kumar S. et al., 2024). Moreover, the

incorporation of such technologies necessitates continuous training for practitioners to navigate the intricacies of both technological progressions and ethical standards, ensuring that justice remains paramount in forensic inquiries (Balhareth et al., 2024). In addition to the advancements in digital forensics, the escalating reliance on artificial intelligence (AI) has ignited a transformative shift in the methodologies by which forensic evidence is collected and analyzed. AI algorithms are progressively employed to enhance accuracy in pattern recognition and anomaly detection, enabling forensic specialists to sift through extensive volumes of data with unparalleled efficiency (Pîrăianu et al., 2023). This not only assists in establishing correlations between disparate pieces of evidence but also plays an essential role in forecasting potential criminal behaviors predicated on historical data patterns. However, as these technologies become increasingly prevalent, it is crucial to contemplate the ethical consequences surrounding their application, particularly

regarding algorithmic bias and the preservation of individual rights within the judicial framework. The challenge resides in reconciling technological innovation with the foundational tenets of justice, ensuring that while we embrace these advancements, we do so with a commitment to ethical standards.

DNA Profiling: The Gold Standard

In addition to its crucial role in the criminal justice system, DNA profiling possesses extensive ramifications across diverse disciplines such as genealogy and biomedical research. For example, individuals endeavoring to trace their lineage can employ DNA analyses to reveal ancestral connections that may have remained concealed for generations, thereby enriching personal narratives and cultural identities. Moreover, within the domain of medicine, DNA profiling assists in discerning genetic susceptibilities to specific ailments, facilitating proactive health management and tailored treatment strategies (Siniša, 2022). This multifaceted application highlights the transformative potential of DNA analysis, not only in the resolution of criminal cases but also in

augmenting our comprehension of human biology and interpersonal relationships (Elsie, 2017).

Furthermore, the advancements in DNA profiling technology have not only revolutionized forensic science but also engendered ethical considerations pertaining to privacy and consent. As genetic data becomes progressively accessible through commercial DNA testing services, apprehensions regarding data security and the possible exploitation of sensitive information arise. For instance, although law enforcement agencies can utilize this information for criminal investigation purposes, it simultaneously ignites discourse on surveillance and individual rights (Jaiswal & Nayyer, 2023). This convergence of technology and ethics necessitates a meticulous scrutiny of regulations governing genetic data to ensure that the advantages of DNA analysis do not compromise personal autonomy and trust within society.

DNA profiling has transformed forensic identification. The advent of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) technology has facilitated the examination of minute biological specimens, such as hair follicles, saliva,

and skin cells. Short Tandem Repeat (STR) analysis, a fundamental component of DNA profiling, permits highly discriminative identification with an almost negligible probability of error. The evolution of Rapid DNA technology has further augmented the pace of analysis, enabling immediate results within hours, substantially accelerating criminal investigations. Additionally, advancements in mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) analysis have enabled identification in scenarios where nuclear DNA is compromised, such as in ancient remains or disaster victim identification. DNA phenotyping, an emerging technology, allows for the inference of physical traits and ancestry from genetic material, presenting new avenues for generating investigative leads.

Digital Autopsy: As the discipline of forensic medicine increasingly assimilates digital autopsy methodologies, a considerable transformation in the manner in which postmortem examinations are performed is becoming apparent. This transition not only augments precision and efficacy but also unveils opportunities for

cooperative inquiries across geographical demarcations, as imaging data can be securely disseminated among institutions (Islam et al., 2018). Furthermore, the amalgamation of sophisticated imaging modalities such as computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) enables pathologists to elucidate intricate anatomical configurations without invasive interventions, potentially alleviating the emotional strain on bereaved families who might otherwise endure conventional autopsies (Oliva et al., 2011). Digital autopsy, or virtual autopsy, utilizes imaging techniques such as computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to scrutinize cadavers non-invasively. This approach has garnered prominence in contexts where traditional autopsy is culturally or religiously delicate. Additionally, three-dimensional reconstructions afford comprehensive visualizations of trauma, facilitating courtroom demonstrations and assisting in the comprehension of intricate injuries. The incorporation of machine learning algorithms with digital autopsy systems has further

amplified their diagnostic proficiencies. Automated identification of pathologies, such as fractures or hemorrhages, diminishes analysis duration and enhances diagnostic precision. However, notwithstanding its advantages, digital autopsy does not yet serve as a complete substitute for conventional methods, particularly in discerning subtle tissue alterations or specific toxicological indicators.

Advances in Toxicology:

Contemporary forensic toxicology has proliferated beyond mere identification of toxins or pharmaceuticals to encompass sophisticated chromatographic and mass spectrometric methodologies. Liquid Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) facilitates the discernment of minute quantities of compounds within intricate biological matrices. Furthermore, advancements in pharmacogenomics empower toxicologists to comprehend individual genetic disparities that influence drug metabolism, yielding valuable perspectives into instances of overdose or intoxication. Nascent domains such as metabolomics and proteomics are

attaining significance in forensic toxicology. These methodologies furnish exhaustive profiles of metabolites or proteins in biological specimens, providing enhanced understanding of physiological alterations preceding demise or under the influence of substances. In addition, the implementation of biosensors for instantaneous detection of hazardous compounds is transforming field-oriented toxicological examinations.

Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning

Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) are emerging as transformative instruments in forensic medicine. Algorithms educated on extensive datasets can anticipate time of demise, discern patterns in injuries, and even reconstruct facial characteristics from skeletal remains. AI-based systems also facilitate the automation of repetitive tasks, such as matching fingerprints or analyzing crime scene imagery, diminishing human error and enhancing efficiency.

The utilization of AI in vocal and speech analysis is becoming progressively significant in forensic inquiries. Speaker

identification, voice stress assessment, and linguistic pattern recognition furnish critical evidence in cases involving telecommunications or verbal threats. Furthermore, predictive modeling utilizing AI assists in reconstructing crime scenes and establishing timelines.

As the domains of artificial intelligence and machine learning continue to advance, their applications in healthcare present both extraordinary opportunities and considerable challenges. For instance, deep learning algorithms have exhibited an impressive capacity to analyze medical imagery with precision comparable to that of seasoned physicians, particularly in fields such as dermatological cancer detection and cardiac imaging (Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning, 2023). However, the incorporation of these technologies into clinical practice remains impeded by issues such as inadequate clinical integration and the necessity for rigorous validation across diverse patient demographics. This underscores a pivotal aspect of AI's role: while it possesses the potential to substantially

enhance diagnostic capabilities, meticulous consideration must be afforded to ethical ramifications, data confidentiality, and the imperative of preserving human oversight in decision-making processes (Rigger, 2023). Moreover, the promise of AI and machine learning transcends diagnostic applications to encompass comprehensive healthcare management, including predictive analytics that can anticipate patient outcomes and optimize treatment strategies. For example, algorithms proficient in analyzing extensive volumes of health data can identify trends in patient populations, facilitating proactive interventions that augment overall care quality (Khatoon et al., 2024). However, as these technologies gain traction, there exists an urgent necessity to address challenges such as ethical frameworks governing data utilization and the implications for workforce dynamics within healthcare environments, where automation may precipitate job displacement or shifts in requisite skill sets (Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Healthcare Sector, 2023) (Sharma et al., 2023). Thus, while AI

promises significant advancements in healthcare delivery, its successful integration will hinge upon balancing innovation with ethical considerations and ensuring that human expertise remains central to patient care.

Ethical and Legal Implications

As forensic medicine continues to progress, the amalgamation of avant-garde technologies engenders substantial ethical inquiries pertaining to consent and privacy. For instance, while sophisticated DNA analysis can furnish pivotal insights into criminal cases, it also mandates stringent protocols to safeguard individuals' genetic information from exploitation or unauthorized access (Balhareth et al., 2024). Moreover, the escalating dependence on digital forensics introduces intricacies surrounding data proprietorship and the potential for prejudice in algorithm-driven assessments. These challenges accentuate the necessity for a comprehensive legal framework that not only addresses contemporary practices but also anticipates future advancements in forensic science, ensuring that progress serves justice without undermining individual rights (Weedn et al., 2011).

Establishing such a framework will necessitate collaboration among legal scholars, ethicists, and forensic professionals to formulate guidelines that reconcile the pursuit of veracity with the safeguarding of personal liberties. In addition to the ethical quandaries presented by technological advancements, the role of forensic practitioners in confronting historical injustices also merits consideration. For instance, as forensic medicine increasingly engages with marginalized communities, particularly in cases involving research with incarcerated individuals or allegations of state-sanctioned torture, it becomes imperative to scrutinize how these practices intersect with issues of consent and coercion (Taborda & Arboleda-Flórez, 1999).

The challenge resides not only in ensuring that contemporary methodologies are employed ethically but also in acknowledging the legacies of exploitation that may shape current practices. This necessitates a commitment to transparency and accountability within the field, where forensic experts must navigate intricate moral terrains while advocating

for vulnerable individuals whose rights have historically been marginalized (Balhareth et al., 2024). Thus, fostering an environment of trust and deference is essential for the continued evolution of forensic medicine in a manner that honors both scientific integrity and human dignity. Furthermore, the intersection of forensic medicine and emerging technologies also raises urgent inquiries regarding the implications of genetic testing in legal contexts. As innovations such as genetic profiling become increasingly prevalent, there is a mounting concern regarding the potential for coercion in obtaining consent from individuals who may not fully comprehend the ramifications of such tests (Darby, 2021). This issue is particularly pronounced in cases involving vulnerable populations, where the power dynamics can lead to ethical violations that erode trust in the justice system. Additionally, the possibility of introducing biases through algorithmic interpretations of genetic data necessitates meticulous examination, as these biases could perpetuate existing disparities within judicial

processes. Therefore, it is vital for forensic practitioners to engage in ongoing dialogue with ethicists and community advocates to ensure that their practices evolve in a manner that safeguards individual rights while advancing scientific comprehension (Taborda & Arboleda-Flórez, 1999). While technological advancements have augmented forensic capabilities, they also elicit significant ethical and legal concerns. Issues such as data privacy, consent for genetic testing, and the potential misuse of AI-driven instruments must be thoroughly addressed. Establishing robust guidelines and regulatory frameworks is imperative to ensure that these innovations are applied responsibly and equitably.

Public awareness and stakeholder engagement play a pivotal role in addressing these challenges. Transparent communication about the capabilities and limitations of forensic technologies can foster trust and mitigate potential ethical dilemmas. Moreover, continuous training for forensic practitioners is critical to ensure the ethical application of new methodologies.

Discussion: The Hazards of Excessive Dependence on Technology in Forensic Medicine: A Cautionary Discourse While the amalgamation of contemporary technologies in forensic medicine proffers numerous benefits, there exists a considerable hazard associated with an excessive dependence on these innovations. As forensic methodologies advance, the likelihood of technological inaccuracies, data misinterpretation, and ethical violations escalates, which can ultimately undermine the integrity of criminal inquiries. This discourse contends that the dependence on innovations such as DNA profiling, digital forensics, and artificial intelligence can engender a deceptive sense of security within the investigative framework. For instance, whilst AI algorithms may scrutinize extensive datasets, they are not infallible; biases inherent in data collections can culminate in erroneous allegations or the exclusion of innocent parties. Furthermore, the utilization of sophisticated imaging methodologies and facial recognition technology engenders profound apprehensions concerning privacy infringements

and the potential for surveillance overreach, which can erode civil liberties. As we evaluate the ramifications of these technologies, it is imperative to acknowledge that forensic medicine is not solely a matter of technological capability but also encompasses human discernment and ethical considerations. The judicial process must not become excessively reliant on technological instruments, as this may detract from the foundational principles of justice. Moreover, the rapid tempo of technological integration frequently surpasses the formulation of appropriate guidelines and regulations, resulting in a tumultuous milieu wherein individual rights may be imperiled. Consequently, this investigation advocates for a more equitable approach, accentuating the significance of sustaining human oversight and ethical standards in forensic inquiries to ensure that advancements do not come at the detriment of justice and accountability.

The Constraints of Technological Integration in Forensic Medicine: A Critical Scrutiny
While the progressions in forensic medicine through

contemporary technologies promise augmented investigative capabilities, it is essential to recognize the intrinsic limitations and potential hazards of such integration. The dependence on technologies such as DNA profiling, digital forensics, and artificial intelligence can engender an illusion of infallibility that may precipitate significant oversights in criminal investigations. For instance, while AI can process extensive datasets, it is fundamentally constrained by the quality of the input it receives; flawed or biased data can distort outcomes, leading to erroneous convictions or the neglect of critical evidence. Additionally, the presumption that advanced imaging methodologies and digital instruments can supplant human intuition and expertise is misguided. Human investigators contribute invaluable insights and contextual comprehension to cases that technology cannot replicate. Moreover, the escalating reliance on technology in forensic medicine raises substantial ethical dilemmas. The employment of facial recognition and other surveillance technologies can violate individual privacy

rights and contribute to a culture of mass surveillance, which may disproportionately impact marginalized populations. The potential for the misuse of these technologies in law enforcement contexts poses a threat to civil liberties and public confidence in the judicial framework. As we adopt these innovative instruments, we must also maintain vigilance regarding their implications, ensuring that the pursuit of efficiency does not come at the expense of justice and ethical integrity. Furthermore, the rapid pace of technological adoption in forensic medicine often outstrips the establishment of necessary regulations and oversight mechanisms. This lack of framework can lead to inconsistent application and potential abuses, undermining the very principles that forensic science aims to uphold. Therefore, it is essential to advocate for a more cautious and balanced approach, prioritizing human judgment and ethical considerations alongside technological advancements to preserve the integrity of the judicial process.

Future Challenges: As forensic medicine advances, one urgent

concern is the amalgamation of novel technologies into conventional methodologies, particularly in the context of upholding ethical standards. The emergence of genetic modification and sophisticated imaging techniques presents both prospects and obstacles for practitioners who must traverse the intricate equilibrium between innovation and ethical accountability (Taborda & Arboleda-Flórez, 1999). This increasing demand necessitates not only improved training protocols but also a comprehensive framework for addressing the ethical quandaries that arise from these innovations, ensuring that forensic specialists are equipped to manage intricate scenarios with integrity and professionalism.

As the discipline continues to progress, continuous discourse among stakeholders—including scientists, ethicists, and law enforcement—will be crucial in shaping policies that prioritize both scientific progression and the ethical ramifications of their applications. In addition to the ethical considerations surrounding technological advancements, there exists an urgent imperative for forensic

medicine to address the implications of data privacy and security in a digital era. As forensic practices increasingly depend on electronic records and databases, the protection of sensitive information becomes paramount, particularly with concerns regarding unauthorized access and potential exploitation (Taborda & Arboleda-Flórez, 1999). Furthermore, the proliferation of national DNA databases, while advantageous in resolving criminal cases, raises critical inquiries regarding consent and the ownership of genetic material, especially when considering historical precedents where public trust has been jeopardized (Mennell et al., 2008). This necessitates not only rigorous legislative frameworks but also proactive engagement with communities to foster transparency and cultivate confidence in forensic methodologies. Thus, as we navigate this intricate landscape, integrating robust ethical guidelines alongside innovative practices will be essential for preserving public trust and ensuring that justice is administered effectively. Establishing a collaborative approach that incorporates input

from ethicists, legal experts, and community representatives can aid in creating a balanced framework that respects individual rights while advancing public safety initiatives.

Conclusion: In conclusion, the amalgamation of contemporary technologies into forensic medicine has markedly augmented the capabilities of criminal investigations, providing instruments that enhance precision, efficacy, and comprehension of intricate cases. Innovations such as DNA profiling, digital forensics, and artificial intelligence have transformed the discipline, empowering investigators to analyze extensive quantities of data and reveal insights that were formerly unattainable. Nevertheless, this discourse underscores the paramount necessity for a judicious approach that acknowledges the constraints and potential hazards associated with an excessive dependence on these technologies. While advancements can streamline procedures and assist in elucidating crimes, they also evoke ethical dilemmas pertaining to privacy, data integrity, and the potential for prejudices that can culminate in

erroneous accusations. Furthermore, the accelerated tempo of technological progression frequently surpasses the formulation of requisite regulations, posing threats to civil liberties and public confidence in the judicial framework. Therefore, it is crucial to sustain a focus on human discernment, ethical principles, and stringent guidelines in forensic methodologies. By doing so, we can leverage the advantages of technological advancements while preserving the foundational tenets of justice and accountability within the legal paradigm.

The amalgamation of contemporary technologies has propelled forensic medicine into a novel epoch of precision and efficacy. From DNA profiling to AI applications, these advancements have enhanced investigative outcomes and expanded the purview of forensic analysis. Nonetheless, the swift pace of innovation necessitates continuous assessment to confront ethical, legal, and practical challenges. Future inquiries should concentrate on reconciling traditional methodologies with avant-garde technologies to realize a

balanced and comprehensive approach to forensic investigations.

Conflict of Interest: There is no conflicting interest.

Funding: Self Funded

Ethical Approval: Not Applicable.

References:

1. Butler JM. *Advanced Topics in Forensic DNA Typing: Interpretation*. Academic Press; 2020.
2. Thali MJ, Dirnhofer R, Vock P. The virtual autopsy: Applications of advanced imaging techniques in forensic medicine. *Forensic Sci Int*. 2017;225(1-3):e10-e15.
3. Maurer HH. Advances in analytical toxicology using LC-MS/MS. *J Anal Toxicol*. 2021;45(6):521-530.
4. Jobling MA, Holmlund G, Montelius M, Parsons TJ, Budowle B. Mitochondrial DNA and forensic applications. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2021;22(10):620-636.
5. Balhareth AAS, Jamesh M, Zabarah GA, Almotlaq MM, Alabaaltahin M, Jaarah AHAA, et al. The role of forensic medicine in modern criminal justice: A review of current practices and innovations. *J Ecohumanism*. 2024;3(7). <https://doi.org/10.62754/joe.v3i7.4669>
6. Kumar S, Shah B, Shandil A, Kumar R, Singh OG. Use of artificial intelligence in

- the field of forensic medicine & criminal investigation: A way forward. *IP Int J Forensic Med Toxicol Sci.* 2024;9(2):73-76.
<https://doi.org/10.18231/j.ijfmts.2024.014>
7. Pîrăianu A, Fulga A, Musat CL, Ciobotaru OR, Poalelungi DG, Stamate E, et al. Enhancing the evidence with algorithms: How artificial intelligence is transforming forensic medicine. *Diagnostics.* 2023;13(18):2992.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics13182992>
 8. Franjić S. DNA profiling is an important forensic technique. *Int J Forensic Med.* 2022;4(1):1-4.
<https://doi.org/10.33545/27074447.2022.v4.ila.39>
 9. Elsie BH. Comparison of DNA from different oral swabs and its application in DNA profiling. *World J Pharm Pharm Sci.* 2017;6(5):88-96.
<https://doi.org/10.20959/WJP-PS20175-9056>
 10. Jaiswal AK, Nayyer S. DNA profiling in forensic investigation - A review. *IP Int J Forensic Med Toxicol Sci.* 2023;8(1):13-17.
<https://doi.org/10.18231/j.ijfmts.2023.003>
 11. Weedn VW, Holdsworth MT, Barron AM. Legal and ethical considerations in forensic pathology research. *Acad Forensic Pathol.* 2011;1(4):499-508.
<https://doi.org/10.23907/2011.040>
 12. Taborda J, Arboleda-Flórez J. Forensic medicine in the next century: some ethical challenges. *Int J Offender Ther Comp Criminol.* 1999;43(2):206-212.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0306624X99432006>
 13. Darby WC. Ethics challenges for presenting genetic data in forensic settings. *J Am Acad Psychiatry Law.* 2021;49(2):256-264.
<https://doi.org/10.29158/JAAPL.210009-21>
 14. Mennell J, Shaw IC, Jickells SM. Future forensics: Opportunities and challenges. *Meas Control.* 2008;41(8):235-237.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/002029400804100801>
 15. Islam MN, Khan J, Ikematsu K, Bagali PG, Raman VK, Ali RM. Digital autopsy: Popular tools for an unpopular procedure. *Saudi J Forensic Med Sci.* 2018;1(1):45-51.
<https://doi.org/10.26735/16586794.2018.014>
 16. Oliva A, Polacco M, D'Alessio P, Filograna L, Tartaglione T, Zobel BB, et al. Will virtual autopsy technology replace the role of forensic pathologist in the future? *Am J Forensic Med Pathol.* 2011;32(3):179-183.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/PAF.0B013E31822C8EF2>
 17. Pike A, Benkli B, Gilani SO, Hirani S. Artificial intelligence and machine learning. In: Kaye AD, Urman RD, Cornett EM, Edinoff AN,

editors. *Substance Use and Addiction Research*. Academic Press; 2023. p. 99-106.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-98814-8.00007-x>

18. Khatoon DF, Baig MM, Kazim DSM, Aleem DMA, Fatima DN. Artificial intelligence and machine learning in healthcare. 2024.
<https://doi.org/10.58532/v3bfms8p3ch1>
19. Chavda VP, Patel K, Patel S, Apostolopoulos V. Artificial intelligence and machine learning in healthcare sector. In: Chavda V, Anand K, Apostolopoulos V, editors. *Healthcare Technology*. Wiley; 2023.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/978119865728.ch13>
20. Sharma R, Gulati A, Chopra K. Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML): An innovative cross-talk perspective and their role in the healthcare industry. In: *AI and ML in Biomedical Applications*. Springer; 2023.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-6472-7_2

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, viewpoints, and data presented in this publication are exclusively those of the respective author(s) and contributor(s), and do not reflect the position of IJMJ and/or the editor(s). IJMJ and/or the editor(s) expressly reject any liability for any harm to individuals or property arising from any innovations, concepts, methodologies, guidelines, conclusions, or

products mentioned in the content.

Copyright Policy : All articles published in the *International Journal of Medical Justice (IJMJ)* are licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (**CC BY 4.0**). Authors retain copyright of their work and grant IJMJ the right of first publication. Under the CC BY 4.0 license, others may share, adapt, distribute, and build upon the work for any purpose, provided appropriate credit is given to

the original authors. 